MR. WIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. Rankin.

- Q (By Mr. Wiggins) Ms. Strow, do you have a summary to give today?
 - A Yes, I do.

- Q Would you proceed with that summary, please?
- A Yes, I will. Good afternoon, Commissioners. The purpose of my testimony before this commission is to provide information to assist the Commission in making a determination as to whether BellSouth has met its 271 obligations under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

My testimony before this commission can only result in one finding and that is that BellSouth has not met its requirements under the Act, and therefore should not be permitted into the in-region interLATA market in Florida at this time.

I am here to share with you Intermedia's experience with BellSouth in Florida. Intermedia was one of the first competitive companies to provide local service in Florida and has a ten-year history as a telecommunications provider in this state.

Intermedia entered into an interconnection agreement with BellSouth on June 21st, 1996 and the agreement was approved by this Commission on July 1st. 1996. It is true that Intermedia entered into the negotiated agreement with BellSouth voluntarily.

Intermedia's approach to interconnection agreements has been one of cooperation, not one that is adversarial.

Intermedia will only seek arbitration in cases where the incumbent LEC interprets the Communications Act in a way that denies Intermedia critical elements or services, and to date Intermedia has only arbitrated against one ILEC, and even then only arbitrated a single issue.

Let me make one point clear, however. If
BellSouth had ever indicated to Intermedia that the
unbundled network elements Intermedia was seeking were
not required by the Act or would not be provided by
BellSouth, Intermedia would not have hesitated to
arbitrate that issue before this commission.

I am disappointed and surprised that
BellSouth's witnesses have suggested that BellSouth is
not required under the Act to provide the unbundled data
network elements requested by Intermedia, and that
BellSouth will only provide those network elements
required by this commission through arbitrated cases.

If this is BellSouth's position, then I can only say it represents a major step backward in our relationship with BellSouth and violates written and spoken agreements that Intermedia has had with BellSouth for over a year. In fact, BellSouth's position

penalized Intermedia for attempting to negotiate with BellSouth instead of going immediately to arbitration before this Commission.

Had we thought for one moment that this was the BellSouth position, we would have taken different steps to resolve it. Intermedia would certainly not have used its resources to work toward developing and implementing the data network elements that are described in the correspondence attached to my testimony. We certainly would not have a team of people in Birmingham meeting with BellSouth today to work through the issues if we thought that BellSouth would ultimately refuse to provide us the data oriented network elements that we require.

In fact, this commission has experienced over the last week what Intermedia has experienced over the last four months. BellSouth has continually vacillated in its position providing Intermedia with confused and contradictory promises. In this proceeding, three of BellSouth's witnesses have provided contradictory testimony on what network elements BellSouth is actually providing to Intermedia, what the BellSouth Intermedia interconnection agreement requires, and even whether BellSouth is obligated to provide unbundled network elements for digital and data services.

1 2

_ _

Intermedia asks this Commission to consider this demonstration of inconsistencies, inaccuracies and broken promises as it evaluate's BellSouth's 271 application.

Intermedia first requested specific unbundled network elements for data services from BellSouth over a year ago. This request for unbundled elements is the single most critical requirement for Intermedia to serve its business customers throughout Florida. Because Intermedia has chosen to deploy state of the art facilities in its network, data services and facilities capable of providing them are a critical part of Intermedia's business plan. This is why obtaining unbundled network elements from BellSouth that are capable of providing digital dates services is so important to Intermedia.

The fact that BellSouth has not provided cost-based rates for digital elements that they committed in contract to provide to Intermedia should be the most -- excuse me, should be most telling to this commission as to whether BellSouth has met reasonable requirements for interconnection.

I want to be clear on this point because the record of this proceeding has focused largely on the provision of plain old telephone service over standard

analog loops. This is understandable because today the majority of circuits provided by BellSouth to new entrants consists of voice services over analog facilities.

In the next few years, however, this will change, and increasingly complex services from combination of voice and data services to full motion video will be increasingly -- will increasingly be demanded by both business and residential customers.

The digital network that Intermedia is building will be the backbone architecture over which these services, as well as plain old telephone service, will be provided. For this reason, this proceeding cannot be just about voice service or just about resale. The Communications Act clearly contemplated the provision of a whole spectrum of competitive local services including voice, data and video.

While digital data services are the wave of the future, Intermedia has a critical need for unbundled data elements for the services that it provides to its customers today.

Currently, while Intermedia provides a large volume of voice circuits, the majority of the circuits it provides are for data services. Every time a customer uses a credit card in a store or a bank card in

an ATM machine, the cash register or the ATM uses a data circuit to check whether the card is valid. All kinds of businesses, from large car dealerships to drug store chains, use data circuits to monitor changes in inventory every time a sale is made.

The use of fax machines by both business and residential users is exploding, and the use of internet for both business and residential applications is growing exponentially.

All of the applications use data circuits, and these represent the majority of the services that

Intermedia is providing now. This is why Intermedia is so focused on obtaining unbundled network elements from BellSouth that are capable of providing data services.

These are the unbundled network elements that Intermedia requested from BellSouth well over a year ago. These are the elements that are still not being provided by BellSouth. BellSouth will tell you that these elements have been available to Intermedia since March of 1997.

I respond that what was available is nothing more than words on paper and a price list. There have been no final service descriptions provided to

Intermedia verifying that what BellSouth is willing to provide is what Intermedia requested. No end-to-end

test of the elements when used in combination with Intermedia's network to ensure that they work as requested by Intermedia.

More importantly, and fundamentally, if

Intermedia wanted to place an order today for the
elements, there are no processes or systems in place to
submit such an order to BellSouth.

Other unbundled loops and elements of this type that have supposedly been available for some time from BellSouth also have no support.

When Intermedia placed an order for such an element, a DS1 loop, in late May, it took six weeks to complete the order. In contrast, when BellSouth -- when a BellSouth customer orders a DS1 circuit from BellSouth, BellSouth typically provides it in five to ten business days.

The delay in Intermedia's case stems from the fact that there are no systems or processes in place to support Intermedia's order. This was for an unbundled element that supposedly has been available for sometime and is a very common element used in typical business applications.

Last, there are no operational support systems in place to support preordering, ordering, provisioning, billing, maintenance and repair for the more complex

unbundled network elements, and for that matter for the more complex resale services from BellSouth.

BellSouth in its testimony admits this point in that only four complex services are supported by the systems BellSouth holds up as meeting the OSS requirements of the Communications Act. It is clear from the evidence presented in this proceeding that BellSouth's OSS offering to competitive local exchange carriers does not meet the equivalency standard required by the Communications Act and the FCC's recent Ameritech order.

This conclusion is supported by reports -excuse me, this conclusion is supported by reports that
BellSouth itself commissioned that show that the
performance of its LCSC operations is inadequate to meet
the equivalency standard.

For unbundled network elements, virtually no OSS is in place, and even for resale, the OSS system that BellSouth has put in place have experienced severe difficulties.

can BellSouth ultimately make these elements and resold services available as envisioned by the Communications Act, and provide them via systems and processes that allow efficient ordering, provisioning, billing and maintenance? It's too early to know yet.

Therefore any action by this Commission to grant 1 BellSouth's 271 application would be premature. 2 In light of BellSouth's failure to provide 3 4 Intermedia with unbundled network elements as required by the Communications Act and the executed 5 interconnection agreement with Intermedia, and in light 6 7 of BellSouth's failure to provide OSS processes and systems equivalent to that provided to itself, 8 Intermedia respectfully requests that this Commission deny BellSouth's 271 request at this time. Thank you. 10 Does that conclude your summary? 11 Yes, it does. A 12 13 MR. WIGGINS: Madam Chairman, the witness is available for cross examination. 14 15 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other parties with cross examination? 17 MS. CULPEPPER: Madam Chairman, Staff would ask that its exhibits be marked at this time. 18 19 We ask that Exhibit JS-13, which is the 20 deposition transcript, exhibits and late-filed exhibits and the errata sheet of Ms. Strow be marked as Exhibit 78. 22 23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It will be so marked. 24 MS. CULPEPPER: And we ask that Exhibit JS-14,

which are Intermedia's Responses to Staff's

1	Interrogatories, be marked as Exhibit 79.
2	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Be marked 79.
3	MS. CULPEPPER: Thank you.
4	(Exhibit Nos. 78 and 79 marked for
5	identification.)
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Bell?
7	MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Madam Chairman
8	CROSS EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. RANKIN:
10	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Strow. Ed Rankin on
11	behalf of BST.
12	In Florida, ICI is presently providing local
13	service through both resale and through the use of its
14	own facilities; is that right?
15	A Yes, that is correct.
16	Q And I believe Intermedia is providing local
17	services to residence customers only on a resold basis;
18	is that right?
19	A Yes, that's correct, with one slight
20	modification, it is also on a very incidental basis.
21	That is not our target market.
22	Q What's the slight modification or slight
23	exception?
24	A That it's only on an incidental basis.
25	Q On an incidental basis? You're not marketing